

**MINUTES OF REGULAR PLANNING BOARD
MEETING HELD ON THURSDAY, JULY 21, 2016**

Chairman Blewett called to order the regular meeting of the Board and announced the meeting was duly advertised in compliance with the Open Public Meetings Act by notice dated July 13, 2016 sent to the Daily Record, Suburban Trends and posted on the bulletin board and website at Borough hall. All stood for the pledge of allegiance.

PRESENT: BLEWETT, KAUFMAN, KOLDYK, MOELLER, WILD AND MORREALE (ALT. #2)

ALSO PRESENT: BOORADY, ENGINEER AND NEISS, COUNSEL

ABSENT: MARINO, RUNFELDT AND TERRERO (ALT. #1)

Chairman Blewett stated the first item on the agenda is approval of minutes for June 16th, 2016. Do we have enough members to vote?

Ms. Ward mentioned yes. The members that can vote are Blewett, Kaufman, Koldyk, Moeller, Wild and Morreale.

Chairman Blewett asked any discussion on the minutes.

Mr. Koldyk made a motion to accept the minutes.

Ms. Moeller seconds.

Roll call:

Yes: Koldyk, Moeller, Koldyk, Wild and Morreale (Alt. #2)

No: None

Abstain: None

Ms. Ward mentioned they're approved.

Chairman Blewett mentioned the second item on the agenda is Preliminary & Final Major Site Plan and Variance Application #348 and Flood Plain Encroachment Application #FPE 12-05 by Genuine Biofuel of New Jersey, LLC, on property known as Block 3, Lots 7 and 10 on the municipal tax map also known as 425 Beaver Brook Road. This is a consideration of time extension resolution and it is a memorialization. The applicant is here and we are in possession of a very lengthy memo from Mr. Schepis.

Mr. Schepis stated I really only have one comment and it has to do with page 3, Subparagraph C. and it specifically says, that the Board believed that there have been more than de minimus changes to the site plan previously approved in the approval resolution, and that such changes may necessitate the applicant's submission of an application for amended site plan review.

I realize that there was discussion about the site plan whether it complied or didn't comply with the terms of the resolution, but as you go on in that paragraph you are giving us the opportunity to prove to you that it complies so it is kind of like the paragraph starts out saying you don't comply but then give us all of these proofs. So I just ask that you strike that line as it relates to the fact that you made that factual determination since it really wasn't even a topic of discussion, we didn't have the plans before you and we do have your direction and we are going to follow it. As a matter of fact, Mr. Miannecki is not here this evening because he is diligently working on it now so we anticipate we will be able to get everything into you shortly, but it is just that determination that you basically find that we are not in compliance and then give us the laundry list of things to do to follow it up. Since it wasn't the subject of our presentation and we didn't have an opportunity to go through every item, we ask that you just modify that one part.

Chairman Blewett asked is there any objections to that.

Board members stated no.

Mr. Boorady asked what is being stricken. Exactly which sentence or the whole paragraph?

Mr. Schepis stated no not the whole paragraph just the one that says, that the Board believed that there have been more than de minimus changes to the site plan previously approved in the approval resolution.

Mr. Neiss stated is that not a fact.

Mr. Schepis stated no it wasn't the subject of the hearing and we take the position that it was not, and we are going to prove to you of what we went through in the last 6 months.

Chairman Blewett mentioned as I recall the conversation, I think there are a lot of open questions based on the changes that were made by the DCA, or the recommendations from the DCA.

Mr. Schepis stated yes.

Chairman Blewett stated you also sent us a memo indicating that you have been notified that the last final partial release of the fire alarm systems has been approved. You don't have it yet but you are going to put the plans together and provide them to the Planning Department.

Mr. Schepis stated Mr. DeRosa picked it up today.

Chairman Blewett stated okay.

Mr. DeRosa stated we have correspondence that the Section Chief of the DCA did send Mr. Marino confirmation that the full plan set has been approved and released for all buildings.

Chairman Blewett stated okay.

Mr. Neiss stated forgive me I'm just a little confused, why is that of any relevance it is not part of the decretal paragraphs of the resolution?

Mr. Schepis stated you know you are right. If it was an opinion, I would say it was dicta, but what concerns me is the fact that the Board by this language makes a factual determination that there have been changes to the site plan.

Mr. Neiss stated no it says the Board believes that there have been changes to the site plan and that was predicated on the information that was supplied pursuant to the documents that I think are listed on the previous page, on page 2.

Mr. Schepis mentioned I read it like it was conclusion.

Mr. Neiss stated no, no.

Mr. Schepis asked what if you put the word may in there, that there may have been or that there may be, I mean I just don't want to be precluded later on that somebody looks at this resolution and comes to the conclusion that there has already been in fact a determination.

Mr. Neiss stated I don't think anyone reading, respectfully I say this, I don't think anyone reading Paragraph C could come to that conclusion. Moreover since the applicant has agreed to provide the Board by August 31st, 2016 with information and supplementation, the Board would then be in a better position as would the applicant to determine whether or not there have been more than de minimus changes. I just don't see it as being that relevant frankly.

Mr. Schepis mentioned I had a concern, my client had a concern, I read it to mean that there was a determination but I'll leave it to the Board. I mean when you read it, it seems to me that it turns up to be like a conclusion that you believe that there were, in other words past tense.

Mr. Neiss asked do you want to insert the word may, I think I'm okay with it.

Mr. Schepis stated yeah I think that that would be sufficient.

Mr. Neiss stated that there may have been more than de minimus changes.

Mr. Boorady mentioned you can also take out the word de minimus and just say the Board believed that there have been changes because there have been changes.

Chairman Blewett stated yeah we know there have been changes but the applicant agreed to provide the detail.

Mr. Schepis stated I think the word may would just clarify it enough and we'll be satisfied.

Mr. Neiss stated so insert the word may on the second line of Paragraph C between there and have, there may have been more than de minimus changes. Is that okay?

Ms. Moeller stated that's all right with me.

Mr. Schepis stated that works.

Chairman Blewett asked any other discussion on the resolution. This is a memorialization.

Ms. Ward mentioned everyone that is here can vote on it.

Chairman Blewett stated okay.

**RESOLUTION OF THE LINCOLN PARK
PLANNING BOARD**

**RE: GENUINE BIO FUEL OF NEW JERSEY, LLC
GRANT OF EXTENSION OF APPROVAL
PURSUANT TO N.J.S.A. 40:55D-49f
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 348**

**BLOCK 3, LOTS 7 AND 10
425 BEAVER BROOK ROAD
LINCOLN PARK, NEW JERSEY**

WHEREAS, GENUINE BIO FUEL OF NEW JERSEY, LLC (“Applicant”) had applied to the Lincoln Park Planning Board (the “Board”) for (a) preliminary and final major site plan approval and (b) flood plain encroachment approval for property located in the AI, TI, and I Zones, commonly known as the Lincoln Park Airport, 425 Beaver Brook Road, and designated on the Tax Map of the Borough of Lincoln Park as Block 3, Lots 7 and 10 (the “Property”); and

WHEREAS, the Applicant sought those approvals in order to convert two existing hangar buildings into a biofuel production facility and to conduct related research and development activities, and to undertake related improvements to signage, access, parking, loading, lighting and utilities servicing the facility; and

WHEREAS, Applicant also sought variance relief to permit parking spaces measuring 9 feet by 18 feet where 9' x 20' spaces are required; and

WHEREAS, the Resolution approving the application, subject to conditions, was adopted on October 17, 2013 (the “Approval Resolution”); and

WHEREAS, by letter dated December 1, 2016 [sic; 2015] the Applicant’s attorney, Steven C. Schepis, requested the Board’s consideration of a one-year extension of the period of effectiveness of the Approval Resolution pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40:55D-52f; and

WHEREAS, N.J.S.A. 40:55D-50d, in relevant part, provides:

The planning board shall grant an extension of final approval for a period determined by the board but not exceeding one year from what would

otherwise be the expiration date, if the developer proves to the reasonable satisfaction of the board that the developer was barred or prevented, directly or indirectly, from proceeding with the development because of delays in obtaining legally required approvals from other governmental entities and that the developer applied promptly for and diligently pursued these approvals.

and

WHEREAS, the Applicant's request for extension was considered by the Board at its meeting held on June 16, 2016 by considering, among other things, the evidence as to the Applicant's diligence seeking legally required approvals from governmental entities other than the Board, and in further support thereof, the Board considered the following:

- Five sheet plan set for Building #2, prepared by James P. Cutillo, dated December 2, 2013, last revised February 1, 2016; Five sheet plan set for Building #1, prepared by James P. Cutillo, dated December 2, 2013, last revised February 1, 2016;
- One sheet plan for Building #2, prepared by Jeffrey A. Sanders, P.E., dated May 16, 2014, last revised March 28, 2016;
- One sheet plan for Building #1, prepared by Jeffrey A. Sanders, P.E., dated May 16, 2014, last revised March 28, 2016;
- Site plans, prepared by Joseph S. Miannecki, Jr., P.E., dated August 1, 2012, last revised December 18, 2013;
- Letter report regarding revisions to flood development regulations, dated April 11, 2016 by Thomas A. Boorady, P.E., Board Engineer;
- Letter report regarding the request for a time extension, dated April 11, 2016 by Thomas A. Boorady, P.E., Board Engineer;
- Letter and enclosure of Steven C. Schepis, dated May 31, 2016; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant's attorney, Steven C. Schepis, at the hearing advised the Board that the Applicant had made numerous submissions to the New Jersey Department of Consumer Affairs, Construction Project Review ("DCA"), with regard to DCA approval of the construction project and was awaiting a final approval¹; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant introduced the testimony of James P. Cutillo, its architect, who testified that the Applicant had received all but one partial release from DCA and indicated that he had, that afternoon, received an email from DCA but had not had an opportunity to open it and believed that it contained the last of the partial releases, suggesting to the Board that this was the final impediment to obtaining final approval from the DCA; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant introduced the testimony of Peter DeRosa, the manager of the Applicant, who testified concerning the Applicant's continuous efforts from the date of the Approval Resolution to obtain all necessary governmental approvals; and

WHEREAS, the Board carefully considered the plans, the exhibits and the testimony of the Applicant's witnesses and the questions and comments of the public, if any; and

WHEREAS, the Board made the following findings of fact and conclusions:

A. The testimony of the Applicant's witnesses and the other documents and materials submitted by the Applicant establish, to the reasonable satisfaction of the Board, that the Applicant was diligent in obtaining legally required approvals from the governmental entities having jurisdiction over the application.

¹ The Applicant's architect testified (below) that DCA issues "partial releases" concerning various phases of its review, culminating, when all partial releases are received by the Applicant, in a final approval.

B. Based on the Applicant's testimony, there should be no need for any further extension of the approval of the Approval Resolution in connection with the DCA review of the Applicant's project.

C. Based on the documents and materials submitted by the Applicant in connection with the present request, the Board believed that there may have been more than *de minimus* changes to the site plan previously approved in the Approval Resolution. Such changes may necessitate the Applicant's submission of an application for amended site plan review. In this regard, on or before August 31, 2016, the Applicant has agreed to submit to the Board's engineer and its attorney a written statement addressing current compliance, or not, with the Approval Resolution indicating: (a) specifically whether the (and which) findings and conclusions of the Board in the Approval Resolution, ¶¶ 1 through 122, have been changed in any manner by virtue of the Applicant's submissions to the DCA and, if so, specifying the nature and extent of any such changes; and (b) which of the Approval Resolution paragraphs under Section XI Conclusions, ¶¶ 1 through 12 (beginning at page 17 of the Approval Resolution), have been and/or have not been currently complied with.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Planning Board of the Borough of Lincoln Park that the request of GENUINE BIO FUEL OF NEW JERSEY, LLC for a one year extension of its October 17, 2013 site plan approval by way of the Approval Resolution is hereby approved and granted, subject to the following conditions:

1. All WHEREAS recitals, findings of fact, conclusions of law and the directions to the Applicant set forth above are incorporated herein by reference and made part hereof.
2. The Applicant's request to the Board and all materials submitted in support of and in connection with it including all correspondence, notices, plans, surveys, and exhibits that form a part of the present application and record, whether delineated in this Resolution or not, together with (a) the testimony of all persons heard by the Board and (b) the review letters of the Board's professionals, are incorporated herein by reference and made part of the record of this matter.
3. The Approval Resolution and any and all documents submitted to the Board and all testimony considered by the Board during the original application, which resulted in the adoption of the Approval Resolution, are incorporated herein by reference and made part of the record of this present matter.
4. The Applicant shall comply with the requirements of paragraph C, above.
5. The extension of the approval herein shall expire at midnight on October 16, 2016.

Mr. Koldyk moved the resolution.

Chairman Blewett asked is there a second.

Ms. Moeller seconds.

Roll call:

Yes: Koldyk, Moeller, Blewett, Kaufman, Wild and Morreale (Alt. 2)

No: None

Abstain: None

Ms. Ward stated okay it's approved.

Mr. Schepis asked Mr. Chairman can I just briefly address the Board on an unrelated issue.

Chairman Blewett stated sure.

Mr. Schepis stated I just want to say I was very disturbed to hear of Kevin's passing. I really liked Kevin he was really a great guy and I knew him for over 20 years. I was very saddened as I was on the passing of Brian Burns. You know I read through the resolution on this matter and I read Brian's words and his words, I spoke to him and it rings in my ears. You know you develop relationships with people over 20

years and I was very saddened and it was heartbreaking. I had conversations in anticipation and I guess it is part of life, but I just didn't want to show up mechanically and have that event that happened from the last time we were here to where we are here just go without saying anything. I really liked Kevin and I think he was a great guy and I know he is in a better place and I was really sorry to hear of his passing.

Chairman Blewett thank you for that Steve.

Mr. Schepis stated have a nice night everybody.

Chairman Blewett thanked him, take care.

The third item on the agenda is Flood Plain Encroachment Application #FPE 15-03 by Michael Sarao, on property known as Block 9, Lot 27 on the municipal tax map also known as 17 Ellice Street. This is a consideration of a resolution and this is a memorialization.

Ms. Ward mentioned the resolution was sent to Mr. Sarao and Mr. Shirkey and I haven't heard anything from either one of them.

Chairman Blewett asked does anyone from the Board have any comments on the resolution.

Board members stated no.

Chairman Blewett asked Tom if the resolution was okay.

Mr. Boorady stated I had reviewed it already with Arthur and I don't have any comments.

Chair Blewett asked if there was motion to approve.

**RESOLUTION OF THE LINCOLN PARK
PLANNING BOARD**

**RE: APPLICATION OF MICHAEL SARAO
FLOOD PLAIN ENCROACHMENT
APPLICATION NO. FPE 15-03**

**BLOCK 9, LOT 27
17 ELLICE STREET
LINCOLN PARK, NEW JERSEY**

WHEREAS, MICHAEL SARAO (“Applicant”) applied to the Lincoln Park Planning Board (the “Board”) to construct a two (2) car, detached garage (the “Project”) on certain real property located at Block 9, Lot 27, on the Tax Map of the Borough of Lincoln Park, and which is also known as 17 Ellice Street, Lincoln Park, County of Morris, New Jersey (the “Property”); and

WHEREAS, the Applicant was originally denied a zoning permit for the Project because the Property and, more particularly, the location for the proposed garage is located so as to create a flood plain encroachment; and

WHEREAS, in connection with the flood plain encroachment application, the Applicant submitted:

- Standard Development Application filed December 30, 2015
- Checklist dated December 30, 2015 (referencing certain waiver requests)
- “Site Plan for Flood Hazard Area Individual Permit” prepared by MianECKI Consulting Engineers (Joseph S. MianECKI, Jr., P.E.), 9 Midvale Avenue, Towaco, NJ 07082, dated September 16, 2015 (1 Sheet)
- “Sarao Residence New Detached Garage” prepared by Chambers Architecture, Inc. (Keith T. Chambers, AIA), P.O. Box 1058, Flemington, NJ 08822, dated December 15, 2014, bearing three (3) revisions through February 22, 2016; (Sheets A-1 and A-2)

and

WHEREAS, the Board also considered the following:

- Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Land Use Regulation Permit (Flood Hazard Area Individual Permit and Flood Hazard Area Verification) approved on October 29, 2015, received by the Borough Planning Department on May 10, 2016
- Review letter prepared by Darmofalski Engineering Associates, Inc., 86 Newark-Pompton Turnpike, Riverdale, NJ 07457 dated February 16, 2
- Review letter prepared by Darmofalski Engineering Associates, Inc., 86 Newark-Pompton Turnpike, Riverdale, NJ 07457 dated May 10, 2016

and

WHEREAS, at the Board's April 21, 2016 hearing, it was established that notice was properly published and served upon property owners within 200 feet of the property; and

WHEREAS, at the Board's meeting held on April 21, 2016, the Board granted certain waivers to the Applicant; and

WHEREAS, the application requires no variances; and

WHEREAS, the flood encroachment application was heard by the Board at its June 16, 2016 meeting; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant was assisted at the meeting by Kenneth Shirkey, Shirkey Construction, LLC, P.O. Box 495, Towaco, NJ 07082; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Shirkey advised that the Property is located in an R-15 Zone and that the application conforms to front-, rear- and side-yard setback requirements and otherwise requires no variances; Mr. Shirkey indicated that a dry well system had been incorporated into the project in order to recapture any displaced storm water and a swale was to be located at the rear left-hand side of the Property; he advised that, based on discussions with an arborist, two (2) trees (one of which is diseased) will be removed from the Property; and

WHEREAS, the Board Engineer, Thomas A. Boorady, P.E., confirmed that the Project requires no variances and that the addition of a garage would bring the Property into greater conformity with the zoning in the area; he confirmed that the foundation openings detailed on the architectural plans will conform to the anchoring requirements to prevent floatation, collapse or lateral movement as required by the Borough Ordinances; similarly, the Applicant's proposal conforms to both FEMA and NFIP development requirements; and

WHEREAS, the Board carefully considered the testimony and the other materials and, based thereon, determined the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. The "**WHEREAS**" recitals set forth above are incorporated, as if set forth at length, as part of the Board's findings.
2. The Property is located in Block 9, Lot 27, of the Borough's Tax Map. It is in the Borough's R-15 Zone and the lot's frontage and area both exceed that Zone's minimum requirements.
3. The garage would have a foundation footprint of 22 feet by 24 feet, or 528 square feet of lot coverage. The proposed driveway and sidewalk would add an additional 202 square feet to the lot coverage calculation. That notwithstanding, the proposed improvements, together with the existing improvements, would result in 3,430 square feet (19.3% lot coverage) where 4,449 square feet (25% lot coverage) is permitted.
4. The Board finds that the Applicant has met the requirements for flood plain encroachment under the Borough Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Planning Board of the Borough of Lincoln

Park, that the application of Michael Sarao, for approval of a flood plain encroachment, be and is hereby approved and granted subject to the following conditions:

1. All **WHEREAS** recitals, findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth above are incorporated herein by reference.

2. The Applicant's application to the Board and all materials submitted in support of and in connection with it, including all notices, plans, surveys and exhibits, together with the Applicant's testimony and stipulations on the record (whether or not they appear herein as such), as well as the testimony of the witness introduced by the Applicant and persons otherwise heard by the Board, are made part of the record of this matter.

3. The Property shall be developed strictly in accordance with the drawings presented to the Board, the testimony of the Applicant's witnesses, and the stipulations made on the record regardless of whether the same appear herein as such and except as may otherwise have been modified by the Board.

4. The Technical Comments section of the Board's Engineer's May 10, 2016 review letter are incorporated herein by reference and the Applicant, as consented to on the record, shall comply with each and every one of those comments.

5. The Applicant shall continue to be responsible for payment of all escrow fees as well as inspection fees.

7. Within ten (10) business days of the completion of the construction of the Project, the Applicant shall submit (a) four (4) copies of an as-built survey prepared by a licensed professional land surveyor and (b) project completion reports that have been certified and submitted to the Borough by the Applicant's design engineer and architect. No Certificate of Occupancy shall issue unless and until the foregoing documents are submitted for review and approval by the Board Engineer.

8. The Applicant shall comply with all applicable statutes, regulations, codes and ordinances of the State of New Jersey, County of Morris and Borough of Lincoln Park.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution shall remain on file in the Office of the Lincoln Park Planning Department, a copy of this Resolution shall be mailed or otherwise transmitted to the Applicant within ten (10) days of its adoption, copies shall be furnished to the Borough Administrator, the Council, the Borough Clerk and the Borough Tax Assessor, and notice of approval of this Resolution shall be published as provided by law.

Mr. Wild moved the resolution

Mr. Koldyk seconds.

Roll call:

Yes: Wild, Koldyk, Blewett, Kaufman, Moeller and Morreale (Alt. #2)

No: None

Abstain: None

Ms. Ward stated okay it's approved.

Chairman Blewett mentioned the fourth item on the agenda is changing the Planning Board meeting time and this is a consideration of a resolution. I think everybody has a copy of the resolution and should I just read it into the record?

Ms. Ward mentioned no I don't think that's necessary just mention the time changes.

Chairman Blewett stated the resolution is to change the meeting time for our regular meeting to begin at 7:30 P.M and planned adjournment at 10:30 P.M.

Ms. Moeller mentioned I may be a few minutes late. I usually get out at 8 o'clock so I pushed it back to 7:30 and now I'll push it back to 7:15.

Chairman Blewett stated duly noted. Thank you we know you'll be coming.

Ms. Moeller stated I always let Joan know if I'm not coming.

Ms. Ward mentioned I know you do and I appreciate it.

Chairman Blewett asked is there a motion to approve the resolution.

RESOLUTION
LINCOLN PARK PLANNING BOARD
TIME CHANGE FOR MEETINGS

BE IT RESOLVED by the Planning Board of the Borough of Lincoln Park that the third Thursday of each month be designated as a regular meeting of the Board. Said meetings are to be held in Council Chambers, 34 Chapel Hill Road, Lincoln Park, New Jersey and commence at 7:30 P.M. and adjourn at 10:30 P.M.

Mr. Koldyk mentioned I'll make the motion to approve the resolution for the time change.

Mr. Kaufman seconds.

Roll call:

Yes: Koldyk, Kaufman, Blewett, Moeller, Wild and Morreale (Alt. #2)

No: None

Abstain: None

Ms. Ward stated okay 7:30 for our next meeting which is August 18th. Betsy McKenzie will be here with us to discuss the Housing Element and Fair Share Plan.

Chairman Blewett mentioned the next item on the agenda was ordinances but Sal is not here so we'll just table it and bring it next time.

Ms. Ward mentioned Arthur, Sal received an email, and I did too, from Tom Zapf who is head of the Economic Development Committee in town and he's complaining about the gas station with all the vehicles, trucks and flat beds parked all over the place that you can't even get in to the gas station. Apparently the committee noticed the problem with the gas station.

Mr. Neiss mentioned I told Sal that is an enforcement issue.

Ms. Ward stated I remember you said that. They had enforced it years ago when Bosland was head of Traffic but apparently you can't even get in there to get gas. He's got everything blocked with those flat beds and it is almost like a used car parking lot there now.

Mr. Neiss stated that is really a police matter. I'm surprised that you folks do not have an ordinance on your books that could be enforced against that kind of conduct. I mean I'll help Sal if I can but it really is an enforcement question and not something we can spot zone.

Ms. Ward stated I know I don't mean just for that one but for all gas station in general. The other stations really do not have the same problems, they may have a vehicle or two parked overnight for repair work but it is not a used car dealership.

Chairman Blewett stated I think we acknowledged there is not much we can do through the Planning Board and Sal, the municipal body will have to deal with it.

Mr. Wild mentioned Sal said he was going to speak with the chief about enforcing it.

Ms. Ward mentioned I don't know whether he has yet he is on vacation.

Mr. Boorady stated check with the fire chief as well, if you can't access the property there might be issues with the fire chief. You may have to get a vehicle in there to fight a fire.

Chairman Blewett stated we'll leave it to the enforcement branch of the municipality and see what happens. Is there any new business which may arise?

Ms. Ward mentioned I just want to remind everyone that September 1st is our public hearing on the adoption of the Housing Element and Fair Share Plan. Everyone please be here as it is important that we have a quorum that night. Betsy has to get the document to the judge on the 20th that's the deadline so we are under the gun.

Chairman Blewett asked any other new business.

Ms. Ward stated that's it.

Ms. Moeller stated I had mentioned about doing something for Kevin like outside like a monument or something. I don't know how everybody feels about that?

Chairman Blewett stated I certainly have no objection, I think you should probably speak with the mayor and see what we can do that might be a good place to start.

Ms. Moeller asked didn't we forward something to the mayor.

Ms. Ward stated no. You mentioned you were going to speak with the mayor.

Ms. Moeller asked is everybody in agreement with that.

Board sure.

Ms. Moeller stated let me see what I can do.

Chairman Blewett asked for a motion to adjourn.

Mr. Koldyk made the motion to adjourn.

Mr. Wild seconds.

Meeting adjourned 8:25 P.M.

Respectfully submitted:

Joan Ward, Secretary

Charles Blewett, Jr., Chairman